

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/057,532	01/25/2002	Jeffrey A. Lyon	003/240/SAP	2344
7590 12/14/2006 ATTN: MCMR-JA (Ms. Elizabeth Arwine-PATENT ATTY) U. S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command			EXAMINER	
			BASKAR, PADMAVATHI	
504 Scott Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Detrick, N	ID 21702-5012		1645	
		•	DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/057,532	LYON ET AL.				
Οπισε Αστισ	on Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Padmavathi v. Baskar	1645				
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	NTE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
WHICHEVER IS LONG - Extensions of time may be ave after SIX (6) MONTHS from th - If NO period for reply is specification - Failure to reply within the set of	ER, FROM THE MAILING D illable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 e mailing date of this communication. ed above, the maximum statutory period or extended period for reply will, by statute the later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply	be timely filed Grom the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on 18.5	entember 2006	•				
· <u> </u>							
<u>′=</u>	, _						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	·	ar panto Quayio, 1000 Ciar i					
<u> </u>	17.40:1	n					
	Claim(s) <u>1,3,5 and 7-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
, , ,	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1,3,5 and 7-16</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) a	re subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification i	s objected to by the Examine	er.					
·= ·	·	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.				
		drawing(s) be held in abeyance.					
			is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
			ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119	•	•				
12) Acknowledgment	is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	19(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some			(1)				
	, —	s have been received					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
		rity documents have been red					
_ ·	from the International Burea	<u>-</u>	ceived in this National Stage				
• •		of the certified copies not rec	seived				
· ·	cialica office action for a list	of the certified copies flot rec	erved.				
•							
Attachment(s)			·				
1) Notice of References Cited	(DTO.802)	A) 🖂 Jakan Jawa Owen	mary (PTO-413)				
	tent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mary (PTO-413) lail Date				
3) 🔲 Information Disclosure State	ement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Inform	mal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	<u>_</u> ,	6) 🔲 Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/057,532

Art Unit: 1645

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

1. The response filed on 9/18/06 has been entered into the record.

Status of Claims

2. Claims 1, 3 and 5 have been amended.

Claims 2, 4 and 6 are cancelled.

Claims 1, 3, 5 and 7-16 are pending and are under examination in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S. C. § 112, second paragraph withdrawn

3. In view of amendment to the claims, the rejection under 35 U.5.C. 112, second paragraph is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102 maintained withdrawn

4. The rejection of claims 1, 3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hui et al 2003, US 6,660,498 is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negative by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

6. Claims 1, 3, 5 and 7-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Angov et a1.(1999), Process development for clinical grade Plasmodium falciparum MSPI-

Application/Control Number: 10/057,532

Art Unit: 1645

42(3D7) expressed in *E. coli*, American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 61 p. 207 (48th Annual Meeting of the American Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, Washington, D.C. 28 November - 2 December 1999, Abstract 133) in view of Hui et al 2003, US 6,660,498.

The claims are drawn to a vaccine comprising a C-terminal 42 kD fragment of merozoite surface protein-1 (MSP-142) from P. falciparum 3D7as set forth in SEQ ID NO:7, that is recombinantly expressed in E. coli as a soluble protein that retains its native structure, and an adjuvant of different combinations and administration of different doses and routes. Claims are also drawn to a method for inducing an immune response to malaria in a subject or a method for inducing a protective immune response to malaria in a mammal using said vaccine

Angov et al teach recombinant MSP1₄₂ protein from *P.falciparum* 3D7 produced in *E.coli* using pET T7 driven promoter-expression system that results in soluble MSP1₄₂. The final lyophilized product is stable and antigen is folded correctly and contain T-helper epitopes that will enhance induction of humoral responses. Mice seroconverted following immunization with recombinant MSP1₄₂ (see IDS, 9/30/03). In the absence of evidence to the contrary the disclosed prior art protein and the claimed protein , C-terminal 42 kD fragment of merozoite surface protein-1 (MSP-142) from P. falciparum 3D7as set forth in SEQ ID NO:7are the same. Since the Office does not have the facilities for examining and comparing applicants' P. falciparum 3D7as set forth in SEQ ID NO:7 and the prior art protein, the burden is on applicant to show a novel or unobvious difference between the claimed product and the product of the prior art. See In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977) and In re Fitzgerald et al., 205 USPQ 594.

However, the art does not teach using said protein in a vaccine composition with an adjuvant and method of inducing immune response or protective immune response.

Application/Control Number: 10/057,532 Page 4

Art Unit: 1645

Hui et al disclose a vaccine composition comprising *P.falciparum* 3D7 merozoite surface protein, MSP-1₄₂ in an adjuvant (see, column 7, lines 38-65 and columns 2-3). The prior art also discloses a method for inducing an immune response and a method of inducing protective immune response comprising administering said vaccine preparation comprising an adjuvant to an individual (column 3, line 24 through column 4, line 5).

It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time invention was made to use the readily available technology of making protein in an expression system *E.coli* as taught by Angov et al because the art suggests product produced in *E.coli* is a soluble form and antigen is folded correctly and contain T-helper epitopes that will enhance induction of humoral responses. Therefore, an artisan of ordinary skill would have been motivated to produce the recombinant product in *E.coli* because Angov et al clearly suggests that the protein obtained from E.coli is vaccine grade product and could be used as a vaccine candidate antigen. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the protein in *E.coli* as taught by Angov et al and use that in a vaccine composition with an adjuvant of Hui et al. The adjuvant combinations, adminsitration of multiple doses and routes of immunizations recited in the claims are conventional in the vaccine art. The claimed invention is a prima facie obvious over Angov et al in view of Hui et al absent any convincing evidence to the contrary.

Remarks

7. No claims are allowed.

Conclusion

8. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600, AU 1645 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be transmitted via the PTO Fax Center, which receives transmissions 24 hours a day and 7 days a week. The transmission of such papers by facsimile must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30, November 15, 1989. The Right Fax number is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1645

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PMR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PMR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PMR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Padma Baskar Ph.D., whose telephone number is ((571) 272-0853. A message may be left on the Examiner's voice mail system. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 6.30 a.m. to 4.00 p.m. except First Friday of each bi-week.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Siew can be reached on (571) 272-0787.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600

Padma Baskar Ph.D.

SUSAN UNGAR, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER